



2014 State Well-Being Rank



2014 Well-Being Score



| Year | Rank |
|------|------|
| 2014 | 39 |
| 2013 | 42 |
| 2012 | 41 |
| 2011 | 39 |
| 2010 | 38 |
| 2009 | 39 |
| 2008 | 36 |

2014 Community Well-Being Rankings

| Highest Well-Being Communities | | Lowest Well-Being Communities | | Oklahoma Communities | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL | 91 | Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN | 56 | Tulsa, OK |
| 2 | Urban Honolulu, HI | 92 | Detroit-Warren-Dearborn, MI | 73 | Oklahoma City, OK |
| 3 | Raleigh, NC | 93 | Columbus, OH | | |
| 4 | Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA | 94 | Scranton--Wilkes-Barre--Hazleton, PA | | |
| 5 | El Paso, TX | 95 | Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL | | |
| 6 | Austin-Round Rock, TX | 96 | Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN | | |
| 7 | Provo-Orem, UT | 97 | Dayton, OH | | |
| 8 | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA | 98 | Knoxville, TN | | |
| 9 | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV | 99 | Toledo, OH | | |
| 10 | Winston-Salem, NC | 100 | Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA | | |

Rankings are based on the 100 largest U.S. communities, by population size (U.S. Census data, 2013).

2014 Well-Being Element Rankings

Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index™: The World's Largest and Preeminent Source For Well-Being Data

With more than 2.1 million surveys, the Well-Being Index is a definitive measure and empirical database of real-time changes in well-being throughout the world, providing insights needed to improve health, increase productivity, and lower healthcare costs.

The analysis contained in this report contains state- and community-level data on Americans' perceptions of their well-being, across the 5 elements of well-being: sense of purpose, social relationships, financial security, relationship to community, and physical health.

Business, healthcare, community, and government leaders leverage Well-Being Index data to improve well-being within their communities and to inform and prioritize tangible policies to help their populations thrive and grow – confirming that investments in well-being interventions can have measurable and substantive impact on both performance and costs.

Oklahoma Well-Being

| Element | Rank |
|-----------|------|
| Purpose | 12 |
| Social | 17 |
| Financial | 34 |
| Community | 22 |
| Physical | 46 |

2014 Key Metrics of Well-Being

